

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/726,973	11/30/2000	Brian M. Siegel	SONYNJ 3.0-027	1722
530	7590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			LIN, KENNY S	
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WESTFIELI	O, NJ 07090		2154	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/726,973	SIEGEL ET AL.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kenny Lin	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the mailing of the mailing date of this Adverse of the mailing of the mailing date of the mailing date of the mailing date of this Adverse of the mailing date of the mailing date of the mailing date of this Adverse of the mailing date of the mailing date of this Adverse of the mailing date of this Adverse of the mailing date of this Adverse of the mailing date of the mailing date of this Adverse of the mailing date of the mailing date of this Adverse of the mailing date of the mailing date of this Adverse of the mailing date	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extending CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three movement patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I statutory period for reply originally set in onths after the mailing date of the final reje	the final Office action; or (2) as set fo ction, even if timely filed, may reduce	orth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifyin	g the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:		•				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendr	ment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT place	the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	•			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	· · · · —	· —				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: none.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme						
	LOVAL FOLL ANSBEE MALLINER WALLE 2160					
	DE WOODER NOT THE TOTAL OF THE					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art still renders the claims unpatentable and the final is deemed proper.